# Crystal and Molecular Structure of a Lattice Adduct, $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2} \cdot 2\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ : An Unusual Case of Two-and Four-co-ordinate Mercury in the Same Unit Cell $\dagger$ 
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Reaction of phenylmercury (11) thiocyanate with triphenylphosphine in ethanol formed a product of unusual stoicheiometry, $\mathrm{Hg}_{\mathrm{Ph}}^{2} \cdot 2\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ which has been characterised by $X$-ray crystallography. The complex crystallises in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$ with unit-cell parameters $a=10.426(1), b=19.576(3), c=9.821(2) \AA, \alpha=94.26(3), \beta=97.00(4)$, and $\gamma=87.08(2)^{\circ}$. Four-co-ordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ molecules represent a new crystalline modification of the previously reported monoclinic form. The adduct $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2} \cdot 2\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ represents an unusual case of the existence of two-co-ordinate linear $\mathrm{Hg}^{11}$ and distorted tetrahedral $\mathrm{Hg}^{11}$ in the same unit cell.

Recently, it has been found that the reaction of phenylmercury(II) nitrate with triphenylphosphine formed the adduct $\left[\mathrm{HgPh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]^{1}$ which did not undergo the symmetrisation reaction (1) as reported by Coates and Lauder. ${ }^{2}$ This

$$
2\left[\mathrm{HgPh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right] \underset{\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]+\mathrm{HgPh}_{2}}{\rightleftharpoons}
$$

adduct became the first structurally characterised complex of organomercury(II) with a phosphorus-containing ligand. ${ }^{3}$ In the present investigation, it was intended to prepare $[\mathrm{HgPh}-$ $\left.(\mathrm{SCN})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]$ from the reaction of $[\mathrm{HgPh}(\mathrm{SCN})]$ with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in an organic solvent. However, an unusual lattice adduct containing one $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2}$ sandwiched between two $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ units was formed instead.

## Experimental

Phenylmercury(II) thiocyanate was prepared by the reaction of phenyimercury(II) nitrate with potassium thiocyanate in dry ethanol.

To a solution of phenylmercury(II) thiocyanate ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38$ mmol ) in ethanol ( $35 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added a solution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (Sisco Chemicals, Bombay) ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( $35 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The mixture was stirred on a magnetic stirrer for 1 h . The filtrate was allowed to evaporate slowly $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ when colourless prismatic crystals were formed after 3-4d.

X-Ray Structure Determination.-Crystal data. $\mathrm{C}_{88} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{Hg}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{4}, M=2037.4$, triclinic, $a=10.426(1), b=19.576(3)$, $c=9.821(2) \AA, \alpha=94.26(3), \beta=97.00(4), \gamma=87.08(2)^{\circ}, U=$ $1982.2(1) \AA^{3}$ [by least-squares refinement of 25 automatically centred reflections $\left(13 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 15^{\circ}\right), \lambda=0.71069 \AA$ ], space group $P \overline{1}$ (no. 2), $Z=1, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.707 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, D_{\mathrm{m}}$ not measured, approximate dimensions $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.35 \mathrm{~mm}, F(000)=990$, $\mu\left(\right.$ Mo $\left.-K_{\alpha}\right)=60.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Data collection and processing. Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer; $\omega-2 \theta$ mode with scan width $=0.75+0.35 \tan \theta$, scan

[^0]speed $3.33^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ to maximum of 90 s for weak reflections, graphite-monochromated Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation. 7385 Reflections ( $h \pm k \pm l, 1 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 25^{\circ}$ ), 6946 unique, with 6468 ( $93 \%$ ) having $I>3 \sigma(I)$. During the course of the data collection ( 144 h ) a standard reflection indicated a decay in the crystal of $15 \%$, a correction for which was applied.

Structure analysis and refinement. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method with the co-ordinates of both mercury atoms being derived. Subsequent Fourier maps determined the positions of all the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. Full-matrix anisotropic refinement of the mercury atoms and isotropic refinement of the other atoms converged at $R=0.095$ with hydrogen atoms in calculated positions. An absorption correction by DIFABS ${ }^{4}$ reduced $R=0.059$. Subsequent full-matrix anisotropic refinement of all non-hydrogen atoms, hydrogens fixed as before, converged at $R=0.025, R^{\prime}=0.035$. The weighting scheme $w=1 /\left[\sigma(I)^{2}+0.075(I)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ gave a satisfactory agreement analysis. All calculations were performed on a DEC Microvax II computer using scattering factor data from ref. 5.

Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises H-atom co-ordinates, thermal parameters, and remaining bond lengths and angles.

## Results and Discussion

Table 1 contains the atomic positional parameters and Table 2 selected bond lengths and angles.

The unit cell contains both two-co-ordinate, $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2}$, and four-co-ordinate, $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, molecules. In the $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2}$ unit the geometry is essentially similar to that found in both the isolated $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2}$ molecule ${ }^{6}$ and the weak adduct $\left[\mathrm{HgPh}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{~S}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right\}\right] .{ }^{7}$ The $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ molecules lie on either side of the centrosymmetric $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2}$ moiety, Figure, and represent a new crystalline modification of the previously reported monoclinic form. ${ }^{8}$

There are no significant interactions between the phenyl ring of $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2}$ and the $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ molecule, the shortest non-bonded interaction being $3.5 \AA$. This is somewhat surprising although for the corresponding monoclinic form Makhija et al. ${ }^{8}$ report that the immediate environment of the phosphorus atoms is not exceptionally overcrowded. Thus, it is possible that small variations in the geometry around the $\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ and $P$ atoms

Table 1. Fractional atomic co-ordinates with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) in parentheses for $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2} \cdot 2\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | C(19) | 0.6823 (5) | $0.4211(3)$ | 0.929 2(5) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | 0.211 76(1) | 0.265 95(1) | $0.68650(1)$ | C(20) | 0.6100 (5) | $0.3958(3)$ | 0.809 8(5) |
| S(1) | $0.0066(1)$ | 0.329 44(7) | 0.759 8(1) | C(21) | 0.488 6(4) | $0.3060(2)$ | 0.5420 (4) |
| S(2) | $0.3175(2)$ | $0.21019(6)$ | 0.9031 (1) | C(22) | 0.590 6(4) | 0.2630 (2) | 0.592 1(5) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $0.38185(9)$ | 0.349 11(5) | 0.657 64(9) | C(23) | 0.6641 (5) | $0.2265(3)$ | $0.5031(6)$ |
| P(2) | 0.138 21(9) | 0.194 68(5) | 0.472 21(9) | C(24) | 0.638 4(5) | $0.2312(3)$ | 0.364 2(5) |
| N(1) | 0.104 5(6) | 0.457 2(3) | 0.851 4(7) | C(25) | $0.5369(5)$ | $0.2738(3)$ | 0.312 2(5) |
| N(2) | 0.263(1) | 0.075 2(3) | 0.858 4(8) | C(26) | 0.4629 (4) | $0.3116(2)$ | $0.4015(4)$ |
| C(1) | 0.067 2(5) | 0.405 2(3) | 0.813 1(5) | C(27) | 0.267 3(4) | 0.140 4(2) | 0.408 9(4) |
| C(2) | 0.2840 (6) | 0.1307 (3) | $0.8747(6)$ | C(28) | 0.378 9(4) | 0.128 2(2) | 0.4971 (5) |
| C(3) | -0.121 1(6) | 0.0877 (3) | -0.003 4(5) | C(29) | 0.476 4(5) | 0.0853 3 3 ) | 0.453 2(6) |
| C(4) | -0.2511(6) | 0.085 2(3) | 0.013 6(6) | C(30) | 0.465 3(5) | 0.055 3(3) | 0.321 3(6) |
| C(5) | -0.333 4(7) | $0.1412(3)$ | 0.0067 (7) | C(31) | 0.3549 (6) | 0.067 6(3) | 0.232 6(6) |
| C(6) | -0.288 5(8) | 0.2038 (3) | -0.016 9(6) | C(32) | 0.255 2(5) | 0.109 4(2) | $0.2761(5)$ |
| C(7) | -0.160 0(8) | 0.2088 (3) | -0.031 6(6) | C(33) | 0.080 4(4) | 0.252 9(2) | $0.3410(4)$ |
| C(8) | -0.077 4(7) | $0.1517(3)$ | -0.024 1(5) | C(34) | 0.154 2(4) | 0.268 6(2) | 0.239 9(4) |
| C(9) | 0.3141 (4) | 0.425 2(2) | 0.578 3(4) | C(35) | $0.1118(5)$ | 0.320 0(3) | 0.154 5(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 0.374 2(4) | 0.4873 (2) | 0.6027 (4) | C(36) | -0.003 6(6) | 0.355 2(3) | 0.166 4(5) |
| C(11) | 0.317 3(5) | 0.544 9(2) | 0.541 4(5) | C(37) | -0.077 5(5) | 0.339 6(3) | 0.264 7(5) |
| C(12) | $0.2037(5)$ | 0.540 4(2) | 0.4559 (5) | C(38) | -0.036 8(4) | 0.288 9(2) | 0.352 8(4) |
| C(13) | 0.143 8(5) | 0.479 5(2) | 0.4301 (5) | C(39) | 0.008 2(4) | 0.1385 (2) | 0.485 3(4) |
| C(14) | 0.197 6(4) | $0.4217(2)$ | 0.492 6(5) | C(40) | -0.013 3(4) | 0.118 8(2) | 0.612 6(4) |
| C(15) | $0.4827(4)$ | 0.377 4(2) | 0.812 1(4) | C(41) | -0.103 6(5) | 0.070 0(3) | 0.620 1(5) |
| C(16) | 0.4306 (5) | 0.384 4(2) | 0.935 9(4) | C(42) | -0.172 1(4) | $0.0415(2)$ | 0.503 4(5) |
| C(17) | 0.5051 (6) | 0.4098 (3) | 1.054 3(5) | C(43) | -0.152 7(5) | $0.0620(2)$ | $0.3767(5)$ |
| C(18) | 0.6301 (5) | 0.4281 (3) | 1.049 3(5) | C(44) | -0.063 7(4) | 0.1113 (2) | 0.367 5(4) |

Table 2. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with e.s.d.s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.522(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.078(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.498(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.804(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.571(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.805(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.566(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.820(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.665(7)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.813(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.609(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.811(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.129(8)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.811(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.116(9)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $114.11(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $120.98(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $111.04(4)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $104.73(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $100.11(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $99.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $105.49(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $104.2(2)$ |



Figure. The molecular structure of $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2} \cdot 2\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ showing two centrosymmetrically related molecules. The phenyl groups bonded to $P(1)$ are $C(9)-C(14), C(15)-C(20)$, and $C(21)-C(26)$
are possible in order to avoid unduly close intermolecular contacts. In the monoclinic form the volume of the unit cell per molecule is $862 \AA^{3}$. The equivalent volume in our form (calculated by subtracting the contribution of the $\mathrm{HgPh}_{2}$ molecules ${ }^{6}$ ) is $867 \AA^{3}$ indicating about the same packing efficiency.

Both $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{P}$ distances are longer than in the triclinic form, and also in the structures of $\left[\mathrm{HgPh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]^{1}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{9}$ and are comparable to those in the orthorhombic modification of $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{10}[\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ $2.503(6) ; \mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{P}(2) 2.532(4) \AA]$.

Both $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are longer than those expected for $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{II}}$. The SCN groups are linear and as commonly observed for mercury compounds bind to the metal through the sulphur atoms. The variations in the angles about $\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ between the two forms is reported in Table 2.
The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ angles of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ moiety are in agreement with those reported for $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right]^{11}$ and the monoclinic form, and the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths show no unusual variations from those found in free $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} .{ }^{12}$
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